

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/456,869	12/08/1999	DAVID ELLIOT WHITTEN	600.1027	7022
23280	7590 09/26/2002			
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			EXAMINER	
	S SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR W YORK, NY 10018		KIM, EUGENE LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721 DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

التياق			S.MI		
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/456,869	WHITTEN, DAVID E	WHITTEN, DAVID ELLIOT		
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eugene Kim	3721	<u> </u>		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cov r sheet t	with the correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2002 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is required the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of t er: (1) a timely filed amend ppeal (with appeal fee); or	his application. A proper reprended in the contract which places the applications.	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]	:		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	<u> </u>				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT he date on which the petition under extension and the corresponding an tened statutory period for reply origi	illing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate nount of the fee. The appropriate extending set in the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without car NOTE:	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected clair	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed	d amendment		
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmexplanation of how the new or amended claim			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on			niner.		
D.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Ingre?	~		
		EUGENE PRIMARY	'IN 'FR		

pequivalent z

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becaus: examiner maintains rejection set forth in paper no. 11. Examiner argued that element 42b is a mechanical equivalent to applicant's gripper means since it holds a workpiece flat and it would have been an obvious matter of design choice as discussed in the previous office action..